

---

# PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY NEWSLETTER

---

Volume 21 Number 3

Division 28-The American Psychological Association

Fall, 1988

---

## PRESIDENT'S LETTER

*Linda Dykstra*  
President, Division 28

As most of you know, the proposed reorganization of the American Psychological Association received 42.8 percent of votes cast. While this fell far short of the two-thirds approval that would have been required for passage, it demonstrated conclusively the sentiment of a substantial minority that their interests were inadequately represented under the current structure of the APA. The general mood at the Annual Convention in Atlanta, among those who had supported the GOR, was one of disappointment but also of qualified optimism that APA would continue to seek "other ways to meet the needs of those who promoted reorganization," to quote President Raymond Fowler.

### ASAP Reorganizes as American Psychological Society

Last year many Division 28 members joined the Assembly for Scientific and Applied Psychology (ASAP). Like most members of ASAP, those who joined were interested in protecting the interests of scientific psychology within APA and felt that the best way to protect those interests was through APA reorganization. In the wake of the failure of the APA reorganization effort, ASAP reorganized itself as APS (American Psychological Society). The goal of APS is to build a society, independent from APA, which would unify the interests of scientifically-oriented psychology--both basic and applied. It plans to do this by sponsoring an annual convention as well as a journal and by developing a program for science advocacy. It is not clear whether APS will develop a divisional structure similar to that of APA. Although there is some sentiment against having divisions in APS, a task force has been organized to look into this issue.

The newly organized APS is to be governed by a 7-member board (with 2 members elected from a slate put

forth by Chairs of Graduate Departments of Psychology--COGDOP). The hope is that COGDOP will nominate psychologists who have both distinguished scholarly reputations and a commitment to the entire discipline of psychology.

Whether APS will succeed is difficult to determine at this time. The general consensus among other scientifically-oriented divisions is one of "wait and see." It certainly seems premature to leave APA at this point, and many people are maintaining their membership in APA while at the same time becoming members of APS.

At the convention in August, the Executive Committee of Division 28 decided to have our division join APS as an Organizational Associate while still maintaining our position in APA. As an Organizational Associate, we hope to have a say in the direction APS takes. The Executive Committee also agreed to encourage Division 28 members to consider becoming individual members of APS, while at the same time retaining their membership in APA. To that end, we have included an APS membership application on p. 2 of this *Newsletter*. In order to be a member of APS, one must have either a research-based Ph.D. in Psychology or have shown a sustained and significant contribution to Scientific Psychology. Dues are \$75.00. As the year progresses, we hope to have more information about this new society, as well as Division 28's possible role in it.

### Annual Apportionment Ballot

In early November you will receive the annual apportionment ballot from APA. This ballot provides you with 10 votes, which you can either allocate totally to one division or distribute among more than one division. The number of Division 28 members on the APA Council of Representatives is determined by this allocation vote.

Presently, Division 28 has one representative on APA Council, but we could easily lose that one representa-

tive if Division 28 members neglect to allocate either all or at least a portion of their votes to Division 28.

Maintaining our voice on Council is particularly important given recent events at APA. This summer, the majority of APA members voted *not* to reorganize APA in a way that would more clearly delineate the interests of research scientists and practitioners. Given the reorganization failure, Division 28, along with other scientifically-oriented divisions, will now have to influence APA's direction through Council. Your allocation vote will provide Division 28 with the representation needed to provide that influence.

### YOUNG PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGIST AWARD RECIPIENT FOR 1988

Dr. Warren Bickel of the University of Vermont was named the recipient of the 1988 Young Psychopharmacologist Award, sponsored by the Burroughs-Wellcome Fund. The award was presented to Dr. Bickel at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association in Atlanta, Georgia, in August. Dr. Bickel received an engraved plaque, a check for \$500, and his expenses paid to deliver an address entitled "Drug Effects on the Acquisition and Performance of Response Chains."

Dr. Bickel received his M.A. (1981) and Ph.D. (1983) degrees from the Department of Human Development at the University of Kansas, where he studied with Dr. Barbara Etzel. His graduate school research involved

studies on stimulus control and stimulus-response relations in children. Upon completion of his graduate training in Applied Behavioral Analysis, he accepted a Postdoctoral Fellowship in Mental Retardation, Autism, and Developmental Disabilities at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where he studied self-injurious behavior and its prevention. Subsequently, he joined the Behavioral Pharmacology Research Unit at Johns Hopkins University as a Postdoctoral Fellow and became deeply involved in drug abuse research with humans. His research activities included studies on naloxone-precipitated withdrawal in humans who had received morphine, opioid-discrimination in opioid-dependent humans and former drug addicts, the effects of methadone and buprenorphine in heroin detoxification, and the effects of ethanol and diazepam on the acquisition and performance of response chains in humans.

In 1985, Dr. Bickel accepted the position of Assistant Director for Quality Assurance and Clinical Research, Division of Substance Abuse, and Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, where he became interested in the treatment of alcoholic methadone patients. Two years later, he moved to his present position as Research Assistant Professor, Departments of Psychiatry and Psychology, University of Vermont. His recent research activities have concentrated on the acquisition and performance of response chains in humans and the effects of various drugs on the behavior engendered by the response sequences. Dr. Bickel's work is supported by grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse and the National Institute on Alcoholism and Alcohol Abuse.



## AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL SOCIETY 1988-89 MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
Last First Middle

MAILING ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

EDUCATION \_\_\_\_\_  
Highest Degree Date Institution

\_\_\_\_\_  
Major Field of Study Specialty Area

Enclosed is my check for:

- \$10 Student affiliate     \$75 Member  
 \$250 Sustaining member     \$500 Patron  
 \$1000 Sponsors     From \$250 Organizational associate

Please bill my Mastercard/Visa

Acct.# \_\_\_\_\_

Expiration Date \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Send membership application to:

APS  
 Department of Psychology  
 University of Nevada-Reno  
 Reno, Nevada 89557-0062

## MINUTES, DIVISION 28 ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING, AUGUST 12, 1988

Alice Young

Outgoing Secretary, Division 28

George Bigelow convened the meeting at 5:05 p.m., with approximately 25 Division members attending. Minutes of the August 1987 Division Business Meeting, as published in the Fall, 1987 issue of the *Division 28 Newsletter* were approved.

President George Bigelow reported that the proposed reorganization of APA was defeated. He briefly discussed the importance of this defeat and noted the formation of the American Psychological Society (APS). He presented the Executive Committee's recommendation about our individual and organizational relations with APS:

The Executive Committee recommends that our Division and our members reaffirm their primary commitment to APA. Additionally, we recommend that our members consider joining APS. Further, the Division will remain an Organizational Affiliate of APS. Finally, the President, Past-President, and President-Elect will act as a steering committee to coordinate our relations with APS and convey information about APS to our members.

We instructed this steering committee to put a letter in the fall 1988 issue of the *Division 28 Newsletter* explaining APS, its importance to our members, and our role as an Organization Affiliate.

President-elect Linda Dykstra initiated a further discussion of the formation and goals of APS.

1. The Assembly for Scientific and Applied Psychology (ASAP) has regrouped as the American Psychological Society (APS), with the aim of serving as a "credible voice to serve the general discipline."
2. APS has the support of COGDOP (Council of Graduate Departments of Psychology.)
3. APS is now conducting a membership drive to increase their membership from the present 1200 to 7000. Dues are \$75.00/year. Other goals include creation of a journal (*Psychological Science*) and a June 1989 conference in Arlington, VA.
4. APS has a 7-member board, 2 of whom are elected from a slate proposed by COGDOP, and a 50-member advisory board. An ad hoc committee is exploring whether to develop a divisional structure.

In response to a question from the floor, Linda noted that APS is attempting to attract psychologists who have dropped out of APA. A general discussion followed, with Division members speaking in support of Division 28 members' playing an active role in the evolution of APS.

Hugh Evans presented a report from the Division's Animal Research Committee. Members of the committee have prepared a number of materials for a response to the anticipated new USDA regulations for animal welfare, with particular attention to the anticipated regulations regarding the psychological well-being of primates. The committee is also preparing an annotated bibliography of materials about animal care issues. Stacey Beckhardt of APA Central Office reported the ongoing legislative activity undertaken by APA on behalf of animal research issues. Finally, President Bigelow reported that the Executive Committee authorized expenditures of \$250 and a contingency budget of \$400 for the Animal Research Committee.

Council representative John Grabowski outlined the major issues presented to Council in its Thursday meeting. A full report appears elsewhere in this issue. Issues related to the budget, formation of the American Psychological Society (see above), and the ongoing reorganization within the APA Central Office received considerable attention. In other business, Council voted to accept applications for membership in APA on a monthly basis, postponed a study of accreditation in the profession of psychology, and approved Psi Beta (an organization of two-year psychology programs) as an organizational affiliate of APA.

In the absence of Ron Wood, President Bigelow highlighted the activities of the Division's Neurobehavioral Toxicology Subcommittee, emphasizing that the committee is continuing to coordinate its efforts with those of APA Central Office staff.

Larry Byrd, Program Chair for 1988, described the aims of Science Weekend and noted that "Drugs and Behavior" was a major theme. He noted that the Board of Convention Affairs plans to continue the Science Weekend format next year, with the aims of minimizing conflict among theme sessions and increasing attendance and "cross-fertilization." We enthusiastically thanked Larry for his excellent leadership for the 1988 program.

Incoming Program Chair Barbara Slifer requested that members who have suggestions of topics or programs for the 1989 convention program contact her or her co-chair (Steve Dworkin).

Jim Smith, Division Membership Chair, presented the slate of candidates for Division membership. We

approved 64 APA members and 6 associate members as new Division members by acclamation. We also welcomed eleven new Fellows of Division 28:

Ernest Abel  
Victor Colotla  
Deborah Cory-Slechta  
Stephen Fowler  
Dorothy Hatsukami  
Jacques Maurissen  
Richard Olson  
William Pelham  
Nina Schooler  
Theo Sonderegger  
Tom Swisher

Finally, Jim reported that our membership has remained level for the past year.

In the absence of Jack Henningfield, President Bigelow presented the Treasurer's report. During fiscal year 1987, our expenses totaled \$8,715, with major costs remaining the yearly convention and the *Newsletter*. Our income was \$9,262, coming primarily from APA member dues and corporate affiliate dues. The Burroughs Wellcome fund continues to support the Young Psychopharmacologist Award Program, and Lakeside Pharmaceuticals continues to support the Division's hospitality suite during the convention. Additionally, during fiscal 1988, Lakeside Pharmaceuticals provided a one-time gift of \$3,000.

Nominations coordinator Larry Byrd announced the recipient of the Division's 1988 Young Psychopharmacologist Award: Warren Bickel, University of Vermont.

Larry also announced that the Division has awarded the second Division 28 Distinguished Service award to C.R. Schuster, Director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse. Bob received a plaque and presented an invited address at this year's convention.

Finally, Larry alerted members that his committee needs to make nominations for various APA boards and committees throughout the year. They solicit nominations (including self-nominations) from Division members.

President Bigelow announced the results of the 1988 Division 28 elections:

President-elect: Bob Balster  
Council representative: John Grabowski  
Member-at-large: Alice Young

President Bigelow and President-elect Dykstra

presented the Executive Committee's slate of officers for the 1988 Division election. Additional nominations were made from the floor. The following Fellows of the Division will stand for election:

President-elect: Chris-Ellyn Johanson  
Klaus Miczek  
Maxine Stitzer

Member-at-large: Marc Branch  
Marilyn Carroll  
Ovide Pomerleau  
Robert Sprague

The following members have agreed to accept new or re-appointments:

Treasurer: Jack Henningfield  
Secretary: Steve Higgins  
Program Chair, 1989: Barbara Slifer  
Program Chair, 1990: Warren Bickel  
Membership Chair: Steve Fowler  
APA Public Affairs Liaison: Bob Balster

A full list of Division officers and their addresses appears on p. 11 of this *Newsletter*.

#### New Business:

1. President Bigelow brought a proposal from the Executive Committee that the Division adopt a \$5 dues assessment, in order to provide funds to improve the *Newsletter*, develop seed support for small scientific conferences in psychopharmacology, and increase the Division's advocacy activities. After considerable discussion, members took the following actions:

1.1 We voted to request of APA Central Office that any approved dues assessment be deferred for two years for new members of the Division. (Note added after convention: Central Office says this is not possible.)

1.2 We defeated a motion to delay any assessment until the fall of 1989.

1.3 We passed a motion to add a \$5 dues assessment for members of Division 28.

There being no additional business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:40 p.m.

## DIVISION 28 COMMITTEES

*Standing Committees.* These are composed of members of the Executive Committee. All continue indefinitely unless dissolved by the Executive Committee.

### Young Psychopharmacologist Award Committee:

Larry Byrd, Coordinator, 8/88-8/91  
President  
Past-President  
President-elect

### Officer Nominations Committee

President-elect  
Members-at-large

*Committees (\* = individuals who are members of Executive Committee as a result of membership on these committees). Each committee dissolves after 2 years, unless its term is explicitly extended.*

### Neurobehavioral Toxicology Test Standards Committee (8/88-8/90):

*Ron Wood, Chair	Larry Byrd
Bob Balster	Hugh Evans

### Committee on Nominations (8/88-8/90):

*Larry Byrd, Chair	
Bob Schuster	Don Overton
Maxine Stitzer	Secretary

### Committee on Animal Research (6/87-5/89):

*Hugh Evans, Chair	
Nancy Ator	Marilyn Carroll
Patrick Beardsley	Bill Woolverton

*Presidential Appointees.* Appointees do not attend meetings of the Executive Committee except by invitation.

### Division 28 APA Centennial Officer (6/87-5/89):

Herbert Barry III

### Division 28 Prescription Privileges Officer (8/88-8/89):

Sharon Hall

## REPORT OF THE APA COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVE

*John Grabowski*  
Council Representative

Several special interest group meetings were held on August 10, 1988. Two subjects dominated the discus-

sion. One was the failed reorganization plan vote, about which clinicians were pleased, while practitioner-scientists and scientists were displeased. The other concerned anticipated events at the next days Council meeting vis-à-vis the newly-formed American Psychological Society. Opinions on APA and APS were evenly divided, and not necessarily along the lines of scientists vs. clinicians. Conversation focused on the utility of two large organizations, whether APS could function as a smaller special interest society (a la Psychonomic Society), and what the relationships between the groups would be. The sense of many scientists and scientist-practitioners was that APA continued to serve useful, important functions, and that APS might eventually make additional contributions to these ends.

A workshop on the financial matters of APA and the workings of Council for new representatives was held on August 11. The notable issue was that an APA budget officer, who left the APA before the acquisition of *Psychology Today*, had returned with a systematic strategy for budget development, approval, and implementation and plans for a balanced budget within three years. APA has had an operating deficit since 1983, and a surplus will not exist until 1990. The elimination of PT will contribute mightily to the planned turn-around; unfortunately, the sale of APA's buildings was also felt to be a necessary step towards solvency.

The Council meeting, which began on August 11 and continued on Sunday August 14, addressed a series of issues: New mechanisms for the approval of fellows and membership application were approved. The proposed budget for 1989 was considered. Various proposals for changing Council meetings schedules were disapproved. An APA Committee useful before the Directorates system, but now excess baggage, was eliminated. An APA statement on test development strategies and elimination of race-related bias in evaluation instruments was approved. A resolution was passed on the need for psychologists and the APA to speak out against violence against children by governments. (Comments were made re: economic violence against impoverished children in the US.) A resolution was passed concerning providing guidance to the APA annual meeting planning committee; it was proposed that the meeting should not take place in states that have laws restricting sexual behaviors in private between consenting adults.

The issues that dominated the Council meetings, however, related to "conflict of interest" and "dual service" on boards. Two members of the current Board of Directors of the APA were active in the development of ASAP, now renamed APS, raising the question of whether an individual should serve actively in the Board of Directors of one organization when (s)he is committed to the development of an

organization that might be viewed as competitive. Interestingly, the most outspoken supporters of APS were Division 14 (Industrial-Organizational) representatives.

Some felt that APS chose a name too similar to APA and that the bylaws and statements of purpose were all directly and substantially competitive (indeed, virtually identical) to those of APA as both an association and a corporate entity. (The Council and Board are fiscally responsible for the APA.) It was also noted that the proposed programs of APS (e.g., insurance, some journals, convention) might be economically detrimental to the APA. Thus, overlapping high level participation would inherently entail conflict. There was further concern that APS would dilute the APA as a lobbying body, a capacity in which it has been extremely effective for clinical and scientific interests alike. Finally, some founders of APS seemed to be suggesting at the fringes of the open Council meeting that entire divisions of APA might use their divisional resources to organize flight to APS, which roused the ire of some APA members.

Others noted that the same arguments were made when the Psychonomic Society emerged some years ago; it now has a complementary rather than competitive function. Furthermore, PS board members have played active, high-level roles in the APA, a fact that has not hindered the function of either organization. The counter-argument was that PS had never directly competed in essential economic and lobbying activities.

This was your representative's first Council meeting. Coming late to these festering disagreements, his "instant assessment" was that conflict of interest might well be a substantive problem, given the fiscal responsibilities of the Council. Dual service might likewise be a dilemma; if an individual must vacate her/himself from too many Board or Council decisions due to apparent conflicts, (s)he becomes ineffectual at representing the special interests of her/his constituency.

The nonspecific resolution on conflict of interest was passed, with scientists, scientist-practitioners, and clinicians agreeing in the main that this issue was of general relevance and not specifically related to APS. The "no dual service" issue was more contentious. Your representative voted to permit dual service because (i) the proposed prohibition was directed at two specific individuals, (ii) it was divisive, (iii) its point was covered by the conflict of interest provisions, (iv) others with sights set on the Board seats to be vacated were reportedly instrumental in the effort, and (v) frankly, as some observed, it was mean-spirited. The meeting adjourned without a closure vote on the dual service issue, but the matter is likely to arise again in

the mid-winter meeting. The APA Board may take "emergency action" and obviate the problem by eliminating the Board members in question. Alternatively, but unlikely, the two Board members in question may take the position that they should vacate their Board (but not their Council) seats. Although Joseph Matarrazzo, the President-elect, supported the dual service prohibition, both he and Raymond Fowler, the current President, agreed that substantial measures can be taken internally at the APA to accomplish what the GOR vote did not; indeed, the development of the Directorate structure represented an effort to this end.

## THE SURGEON GENERAL'S REPORT AND THE BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES

*Jack Henningfield*

The most recent Annual Report of the Surgeon General on the Health Consequences of Smoking involved the efforts of numerous members of Division 28. Two of the four Scientific Editors were Division 28 members, as were many of those who wrote or reviewed portions of the Report. The Report examined the behavioral aspects of smoking, focusing in particular upon nicotine dependence or addiction.

The reports that preceded this one have illustrated the power and validity of scientific experimentation and analysis in disciplines such as epidemiology, physiology, and chemistry; the relevance of these disciplines to the public health problems caused by cigarette smoking and even to policy formulation has been clear. The current report demonstrates that the behavioral sciences are of no less scientific power, validity, or relevance. Both direct experimentation and behavioral analyses provided objective means to identify factors involved in the initiation of, maintenance of, and relapse to smoking. Such behavioral research and methods of analysis also demonstrated that prevention and treatment efforts can be implemented on a scientific basis. Finally, based largely upon the Report, 3 different Bills have been or are being introduced into the U.S. Congress. These Bills would affect aspects of tobacco product labeling, availability to youth, liability of manufacturers, and treatment options for those who seek to quit.

The APA made the following statement to the U.S. House of Representatives, Committee on Energy and Commerce, Subcommittee on Health and the Environment on July 29, 1988:

The American Psychological Association is the national scientific and professional organization of psychologists in the United States. Our membership of over 90,000

psychologists includes scientists and practitioners who are engaged in the study of human behavior and the treatment of abnormal and health-damaging behaviors. Within the scope of our expertise is the study and treatment of addictive behaviors. In fact, several divisions of our organization (e.g., Psychopharmacology, Health Psychology) include members who are world-renowned experts on addiction and whose research and treatment activities are concentrated on the topic of addiction.

The American Psychological Association concurs with the conclusions of the Surgeon General's Report, "The Health Consequences of Smoking: Nicotine Addiction," that:

1. Cigarette and other forms of tobacco are addicting.
2. Nicotine is the drug in tobacco that causes addiction.
3. The pharmacologic and behavioral processes that determine tobacco addiction are similar to those that determine addiction to drugs such as heroin and cocaine.

In our opinion, this Surgeon General's Report thoroughly and accurately presents and reviews the scientific evidence necessary to reach these conclusions. The criteria for addiction used in this Report are completely consistent with the criteria and definitions of addiction used by the World Health Organization, the National Institute on Drug Abuse, and the American Psychiatric Association. We compliment the Surgeon General for clearly presenting these criteria against which to compare the data that are reviewed throughout the Report. The Surgeon General's Report has performed a valuable public service in providing a clear explanation of the criteria for drug addiction that have been established by the community of drug addiction experts over the past 20 years. Many individuals are unaware of these criteria.

The Report accurately reviews the overwhelming scientific evidence to support the conclusions summarized in Chapter 1. The review is comprehensive and fair. In fact, the Report is written as a scholarly review in that weaknesses as well as strengths of various studies are pointed out. The Report presents state-of-the-art knowledge on nicotine addiction, including relevant behavioral, psychological, and biological data that are necessary to understand the addictive behavior of tobacco use.

Top experts from the U.S. and abroad who study every aspect of nicotine's effects contributed to this Report. Each chapter was reviewed and critiqued by up to 11 other

Internationally known experts and their comments were used to produce the final version of the Report. Many members of the American Psychological Association, as well as experts from other disciplines including pharmacology and the neurosciences, contributed to every phase of this process, including authorships, reviews, and scientific editing.

In addition to presenting evidence that tobacco use is addicting and that nicotine is the drug in tobacco that causes addiction, the Report compares tobacco use to other drug addictions. The inclusion of these comparisons is critically important, especially at a time when our country is deeply concerned about drug abuse. It is necessary that the public and the Congress understand the facts about the psychological, pharmacological, and sociological processes that are involved in drug abuse. The Report provides a valuable perspective that should be reflected in the development of public policies to deal with various drugs of addiction.

Finally, the Report reviews treatment approaches and outcomes and concludes that behavioral and pharmacologic intervention techniques with demonstrated efficacy are available, offering hope for those who seek assistance in quitting.

## APA NEUROTOXICOLOGICAL RESEARCH INITIATIVES

*William D. Echols*

Federal Legislative Affairs Officer, Science Directorate

Over the past two years, and with the help of Division 28, the APA Science Directorate has pursued a vigorous strategy in attempting to focus federal and legislative attention on the need for more extensive research into the adverse neurotoxicological and behavioral effects of toxic substances. Despite the disturbing frequency of nervous-system injury and a wealth of compelling evidence that certain chemicals contribute to the damage, the vast majority of substances in use today have never been evaluated for their effects on nerve and brain function. The APA has been actively working along several different avenues to help remedy this neglected area of health research. These efforts are briefly described below:

- The APA continues to work with the Center for Science in the Public Interest (CSPI) to lobby Congress to mandate neurotoxicity testing for pesticides through legislation called the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). The legislation, reported by the Senate

## DIVISION 28 OFFICERS

## SUBMISSION OF COPY FOR THE NEWSLETTER

<i>Elected:</i>		<i>Term on Council</i>
President	Linda A. Dykstra	9/87-8/90
President-Elect	Robert L. Balster	8/88-8/91
Past-President	George E. Bigelow	9/86-8/89
Council Representative	John G. Grabowski	2/88-2/91
Members-at-large	Marian W. Fischman	9/86-8/89
	Sharon M. Hall	9/87-8/90
	Alice M. Young	8/88-8/91

Readers are invited to submit articles and information of general interest to Division 28 members. Book reviews and Letters to the Editor will be considered for possible inclusion. Copy for the *Newsletter* may be submitted: 1. Typed double-spaced on standard bond paper; OR 2. On diskette—preferably word-processed on IBM-compatible equipment. We use Wordstar Professional version 5.0 but can translate from most other widely-used processing programs. **BE SURE TO INCLUDE HARD COPY IN CASE THE DISKETTE IS DAMAGED IN THE MAIL.**

The *Newsletter* is published on a quarterly basis and will appear 4-6 weeks after each deadline. Deadlines for submission of materials are:

<i>Appointed:</i>		
Treasurer	Jack E. Henningfield	8/88-8/91
Secretary	Stephen T. Higgins	8/88-8/90
Program Chair, 1988	Barbara L. Slifer	9/87-8/90
Past-Program Chair	Larry D. Byrd	9/86-8/89
Incoming Program Chair	Warren K. Bickel	8/88-8/91
Newsletter Editor	Cynthia S. Pomerleau	5/88-8/91
Membership Chair	Steven C. Fowler	8/88-8/91
CPDD Liaison	Robert L. Balster	
Public Information	John G. Grabowski	6/87-5/89
ASPET Liaison Officers	John A. Harvey	9/87-8/89
	Linda A. Dykstra	9/87-8/89
APA Public Affairs Liaison	Robert L. Balster	8/88-8/89

Fall issue: September 15  
 Winter issue: December 15  
 Spring Issue: March 15  
 Summer issue: June 15

Submit materials for inclusion in the *Newsletter* to:

Cynthia S. Pomerleau, Ph.D.  
 Editor, *Division 28 Newsletter*  
 Behavioral Medicine Program  
 University of Michigan Department of Psychiatry  
 Riverview Building, 900 Wall Street  
 Ann Arbor, MI 48105-0722  
 (313) 764-7152

Cynthia S. Pomerleau, Ph.D.  
 Editor, *Division 28 Newsletter*  
 Behavioral Medicine Program  
 University of Michigan Department of Psychiatry  
 Riverview Building, 900 Wall Street  
 Ann Arbor, MI 48105-0722



000010444951 F CSO 2834  
 DR VICTOR G LATIES  
 SCH OF MED  
 UNIV OF ROCHESTER BOX EHSC  
 ROCHESTER NY 14642

